EQUIPMENT NEEDS ASSESSMENT APPLICATION

Name of Person Submitting Request:	Mandi Batalo
Program or Service Area:	Art Department
Division:	Arts & Humanities
Date of Last Program Efficacy:	Spring 2011
What rating was given?	Continuation
Equipment Requested	Two (2) modeling stands
Amount Requested:	\$500.00
Strategic Initiatives Addressed:	Student Success: We are committed to
	helping students succeed in their
	educational and career goals.
	Access: We are committed to providing
	opportunities for acquiring educational
	and support services.

Replacement	Growth 2	K

1. Provide a rationale for your request.

Students use sculpture stands for larger pieces of sculpture that are not easily moved or stored. Currently two sculpture classes with a total of 50 students share three sculpture stands. Additional stands are needed for more students to work at a larger scale.

2. Indicate how the content of the latest Program Efficacy Report and current EMP data support this request How is the request tied to program planning? (*Reference the page number(s)* where the information can be found on Program Efficacy.)

The most current EIS data indicates there was an increase in art department FTES from 329.77 in 2011-2012 to 331.19 in 2012-2013. Retention rates also increased, retention was 88% in 2011-2012 and 90% in 2012-2013. More students are enrolling and remaining in art classes. Limited space, equipment, and safety must be considered in the studio and lab classes. This request is tied to program productivity (p. 10) of the 2011 Program Efficacy Report.

3. Indicate if there is additional information you wish the committee to consider (*for example: regulatory information, compliance, updated efficiency, student success data, or planning, etc.*).

Current data indicates student success has increased for 76% in 2011-2012 to 77% in 2012-2013, and as stated above, enrollment and retention have increased.

4. Evaluation of initial cost, as well as related costs (including any ongoing maintenance or updates) and identification of any alternative or ongoing funding sources. (for example Department Budget or Perkins)

701	•		1 11.11	1 , 1	
There	10	no	additional	related	COST
THEFE	10	110	additional	TCIaiCu	COSt.

5.	What are the consequences of not funding this equipment?
De	ecrease in student productivity.